

Parish Council	Parish Response	Comments
Heapey Parish Council	Accepting the proposal and wording	None.
Croston Parish Council	Accepting the proposal and wording	None.
Anderton Parish Council	Accepting the proposal and wording	None.
Heath Charnock Parish Council	Accepting the proposal and wording	None.
Astley Village Parish Council	Accepting the proposal and wording	None.
Adlington Town Council	Reject the proposal, feel the argument is flawed, that commuted sums should always remain in the locality where the development takes place, that Town and Parish Council's views should carry significant weight and should largely dictate where monies can be directed.	Parish Councils will continue to have the opportunity to request the ring-fencing of commuted sums arising from Section 106 Agreements for the locality; but for all monies to always be used exclusively in the locality would not take into consideration that some play and recreation needs can not always be met in one locality i.e. swimming provision. The proposal is designed to take into account, far more extensively than present, the weight and significant input of Parish Councils.
Charnock Richard Parish Council	Broadly supports the Report, but feels it a little unjust in places. Charnock Richard has seen little, if any, funding from s106 funds in previous years despite development at a few locations in the Parish, and feels that the 15 unit development figure will favour those Parishes having the available land to accommodate developments in excess of 15 units, in addition existing play and recreation facilities are more likely to be available and on a larger scale. In particular the Parish has been turned down in its approach for monies in relation to the Phase II of Mossie Close play area – told in 2008 that monies could not be allocated for the project.	The Report presents a mechanism for the Parish Councils to propose acquisitions and for the Council to take into account the needs across the Borough. In the face of scarce resources the proposal presents a means of managing a programme of improvement appropriate for the entire Borough. Planning Policy Statement 3 sets the housing development threshold at 15, so in this the Council is following the National Guidance.

	<p>The Parish Council agree that there should be a policy for dealing with s106 money and the fact that it may be necessary for Parishes on some occasions, to bid for funding in excess of that generated from developments in their Parish. The Parish Council welcome an input into that policy and the need to ensure that s106 money is used fairly and equitably and that the money should be available to mitigate the impact of development. However, they remain concerned that as a smaller, rural Parish, with very little available land with the potential for significant development, they could find themselves bidding for a share of what could prove to be a very small pot of money compared to the number of schemes/bids from other Parishes in the Borough finding themselves in a similar situation.</p>	
Eccleston Parish Council	<p>Suggests reducing the size of Developments from 15 to 10, being a more realistic figure for impacting on locally managed facilities.</p>	<p>Planning Policy Statement 3 sets the housing development threshold at 15, so in this the Council is following the National Guidance.</p>
Euxton Parish Council	<p>On Developments between 5 and 15 properties. 50% the total of the S106 recreation revenue for all the new builds should be 'tied' to that village and to be spent on recreation within that village (if required), the other 50% to go in the borough-wide pot.</p>	<p>Whilst seemingly equitable the proposal would not be based on need; there may be cases of some parishes with over-provision.</p>
Whittle-le-Woods Parish Council	<p>That the Parish which generated the S106 money, should be offered the first opportunity to put in a bid for facilities, which can then be evaluated before the money gets thrown into the pot. If the scheme isn't considered worthy of the investment the officers could then reject the proposal.</p>	<p>The aspirations and needs of a Parish must be taken in consultation with the Council's planned programme of activities. With a shared view of the aspirations and needs and the Parish's early input into the programme, it would ensure that the Parish's proposals would be robust enough to enter into the bidding process.</p>

Houghton Parish Council	The Parish wishes to apply for funds for the refurbishment of the land known as Pinfold Riley Green Houghton, first contacted back in July 2009.	The proposed mechanism will present a formal way for Parish Councils to present their requests and encourages the Parish Council to submit a formal proposal.
Clayton-le-Woods Parish Council	Looking to access monies for project at Clayton Brook to update the play area and provide a roundabout for disabled users.	The proposed mechanism will present a formal way for Parish Councils to present their requests and encourages the Parish Council to submit a formal proposal.
Ulnes Walton Parish Council	The Parish Council were pleased to be able to be part of the process and felt the inclusion of all Parish Councils in the S106 process at an early stage was the way forward. The Parish Council would like to understand more about the bidding process and the panel that will consider requests for the funds.	The full bidding process will be formulated if the Report is accepted by the Executive Cabinet, but the expectation is that there would be two bidding rounds a year with the final decision on proposals to be made at Executive Cabinet.
Bretherton Parish Council	The Parish Council were pleased to be included in the consultation. The PC felt that all Councils (irrelevant of size, location and the number of planning applications that arise) should be treated in a fair and equitable way and that additional information would be welcomed on the specific bidding process in due course once the process was ratified and agreed.	The full bidding process will be formulated if the Report is accepted by the Executive Cabinet, but the expectation is that there would be two bidding rounds a year with the final decision on proposals to be made at Executive Cabinet.