

SCRUTINY TOPIC SELECTION ASSESSMENT

Topic:		Suggested by: Councillor Mrs I E Smith			
Decriminalisation of Parking – Review of ParkWise working practices as they relate to Chorley		Date: 6 January 2005			
STEP 1: Rejection Criteria:	STEP 2: Selection Criteria:				
 Already being addressed Matter subjudice or prejudicial to Council's interests Specific case falling within complaints procedure Individual disciplinary or grievance matter Unlikely to result in improvements for local people Select	 Communication Key iss Poor performance 	ements for local people likely unity/Corporate priority area ue for public erforming service vel of dissatisfaction t			
Specify reason(s) for rejection:	Specify	reason(s) for rejection:			

STEP 3 Rationale for Selection for Topics Passing Steps 1 and 2

 Rationale for Selection Why would we do this? How does it link to Council's Key Aims and Priorities? What benefits could 	 Evidence What evidence is there to support the rationale and need for scrutiny inquiry/review? What are the facts? 	 Desired Outcome What would we wish to achieve in undertaking scrutiny inquiry/review? Is the desired outcome likely to be achieved and
 result? Aggravation caused by the over strict and over zealous enforcement of parking regulations. Perceived high profile and inflexible approach of Parking Attendants. Residents and visitors feel unwelcome in the town. Shoppers being discouraged from visiting the town, which in turn will lead to a decline in prosperity. 	 General perception that residents and visitors are aggrieved at the situation. Volume of written complaints in the local press and verbal complaints to Councillors. Number of appeals against Penalty Charge Notices. 	 why? A canvass of residents' and visitors' views. Justification, or otherwise, of the use of ParkWise. Adoption of a more pragmatic, flexible approach by Parking Attendants without compromising proper enforcement. Dispelling of public perception and reluctance to visit town centre



STEP 3: Continued

 Links to the Council's strategic priority to serve its customers better. 	 There is no reason why an examination of the situation should not achieve a satisfactory solution.
 Clearer car park and highway signage and clarity of loading/unloading restrictions. 	

STEP 4: Prioritise – Score for Importance and Impact and plot on grid

Importance Score 33 Supporting Evidence:	High I M P O	4	Possibl scruting	ER ZONE le topic for y but not a riority		GREEN ZONE Priority topic for scrutiny
	R	č				
Impact Score 4	T A N C E	2	Rejec	ZONE t topic for		AMBER ZONE Possible topic for
Supporting Evidence:	Low	1	SC	rutiny		scrutiny but not a priority
			1 Low	2	IMPA	3 4 CT High

	Scoring Guide					
Importance Score Indicator			Impact Score Indicator			
score 0	No evidence that topic is related to the Council's key aims and priorities. Reject		score 0	No potential benefits likely to result. Reject		
1	Some evidence that topic linked to Council's key aims and priorities but only indirectly.		1	Minor potential benefits or benefits affecting only one ward/customer/client group.		
2		linking topic to Council's key aims but s current priorities.	2	Minor potential benefits affecting two or mor wards/customer/client groups or, Moderate potential benefits affecting only on ward/customer/client group.		
3	Good evidence linking topic to Council's key aims and priorities.		3	Moderate potential benefits affecting more than one ward/customer/client group, or Substantial potential benefits affecting one or more ward/customer/client groups.		
4	Strong evidence linking topic to Council's key aims and priorities.		4	Substantial potential benefits community wide or for a significant proportion or section of the community.		
OUTCOME:		□Select□Refer to:□□Community Panel□	OSC	ve List		
Duic.						