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The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect your business or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.
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Introduction

This paper provides the Governance Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors.

Members of the Governance Committee can find further useful material on our website www.grant-thornton.co.uk, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector. Here you can download copies of our publications including:

- Better Together: Building a successful joint venture company; 
- Knowing the Ropes – Audit Committee; Effectiveness Review; 
- Making devolution work: A practical guide for local leaders 
  www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/insights/making-devolution-work/

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

Mark Heap
Engagement Lead
T 0161 234 6375
M 07880 456 204
E mark.r.heap@uk.gt.com

Gareth Winstanley
Engagement Manager
T 0161 234 6343
M 07880 456 211
E gareth.j.winstanley@uk.gt.com
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# Progress at June 2016

## Progress against plan
- **On track**

## Opinion and VfM conclusion
- **Plan to give before deadline of 30 September 2016**

## Outputs delivered
- Fee letter, Progress Reports, delivered to plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015/16 work</th>
<th>Expected Date of Completion</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fee Letter</strong></td>
<td>April 2015</td>
<td>We have also recently issued the fee letter for 2016/17.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We issued the 'Planned fee letter for 2015/16’ in April 2015.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accounts Audit Plan</strong></td>
<td>April 2016</td>
<td>We issued our audit plan to the Council in April 2016 and we will present it to the Governance Committee at its meeting in June.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We are required to issue a detailed accounts audit plan to the Council setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council's 2015-16 financial statements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We also inform you of any subsequent changes to our audit approach.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interim accounts audit</strong></td>
<td>March 2016</td>
<td>We completed our interim audit work during March 2016 and included our findings in our Audit Plan. This will be presented to the Governance Committee in June 2016.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our interim fieldwork visit includes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• updating our review of the Council's control environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• updating our understanding of financial systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• review of Internal Audit reports on core financial systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• early work on emerging accounting issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• early substantive testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Progress at June 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015/16 work</th>
<th>Expected Date of Completion</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final accounts audit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>We are planning to carry out our audit of the Council’s in July and August and will report the findings within our Audit Findings Report to be presented at the September Governance Committee meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Including:</td>
<td>Planned for August 2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Audit of the 2015-16 financial statements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• proposed opinion on the Council's accounts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Value for Money (VfM) conclusion</strong></td>
<td>Planned for June - August 2016</td>
<td>We have reported the outcome of our initial risk assessment within our audit plan. We will report more detailed findings arising from our work as part of our Audit Findings Report which will be presented in September 2016.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The scope of our work has changed and is set out in the final guidance issued by the National Audit Office in November 2015. The Code requires auditors to satisfy themselves that; &quot;the Council has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources&quot;. The guidance confirmed the overall criterion as; &quot;in all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people&quot;. The three sub criteria for assessment to be able to give a conclusion overall are:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Informed decision making</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sustainable resource deployment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Working with partners and other third parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Better Together:  
Building a successful joint venture company

Local government is evolving as it looks for ways to protect front-line services. These changes are picking up pace as more councils introduce alternative delivery models to generate additional income and savings.

'Better together' is the next report in our series looking at alternative delivery models and focuses on the key areas to consider when deciding to set up a joint venture (JV), setting it up and making it successful.

JVs have been in use for many years in local government and remain a common means of delivering services differently. This report draws on our research across a range of JVs to provide inspiring ideas from those that have been a success and the lessons learnt from those that have encountered challenges.

Key findings from the report:

- JVs continue to be a viable option – Where they have been successful they have supported councils to improve service delivery, reduce costs, bring investment and expertise and generate income
- There is reason to be cautious – Our research found a number of JVs between public and private bodies had mixed success in achieving outcomes for councils
- There is a new breed of JVs between public sector bodies – These JVs can be more successful at working and staying together. There are an increasing number being set up between councils and wholly-owned commercial subsidiaries that can provide both the commercialism required and the understanding of the public sector culture.

Innovation in public financial management

Our research on international public financial management (PFM) shows it is evolving, from having a narrow focus on budgeting, towards a wider mandate as a key driver of policy and strategy across all levels of government, public services, state enterprises and public-private partnerships.

While the rate of this evolution differs by country, the research reveals a marked overall increase in innovation and highlights four major trends that, globally, will impact on the future of public financial management:

1. reform programs
2. infrastructure development, especially via public private partnerships (PPPs)
3. transparency, especially via digital channels
4. the impact of global economic uncertainty.

This report, published by Grant Thornton International in association with the International Consortium on Governmental Financial Management (ICGFM), draws on a recent survey of 278 PFM practitioners worldwide, as well as insights from experts at the ICGFM, the MIT Centre for Finance and Policy and Grant Thornton International.

Our report, Innovation in public financial management, can be downloaded from our website:

Fighting Fraud and Corruption Locally is a strategy for English local authorities that is the result of collaboration by local authorities and key stakeholders from across the counter fraud landscape.

This strategy is the result of an intensive period of research, surveys, face-to-face meetings and workshops. Local authorities have spoken openly about risks, barriers and what they feel is required to help them improve and continue the fight against fraud and to tackle corruption locally.

Local authorities face a significant fraud challenge. Fraud costs local authorities an estimated £2.1bn a year. In addition to the scale of losses, there are further challenges arising from changes in the wider public sector landscape including budget reductions, service remodelling and integration, and government policy changes. Local authorities will need to work with new agencies in a new national counter fraud landscape.

The strategy:

• calls upon local authorities to continue to tackle fraud with the dedication they have shown so far and to step up the fight against fraud in a challenging and rapidly changing environment
• illustrates the financial benefits that can accrue from fighting fraud more effectively
• calls upon central government to promote counter fraud activity in local authorities by ensuring the right further financial incentives are in place and helping them break down barriers to improvement
• updates and builds upon Fighting Fraud Locally 2011 in the light of developments such as The Serious and Organised Crime Strategy and the first UK Anti-Corruption Plan
• sets out a new strategic approach that is designed to feed into other areas of counter fraud and corruption work and support and strengthen the ability of the wider public sector to protect itself from the harm that fraud can cause.

The strategy can be downloaded from http://www.cipfa.org/services/counter-fraud-centre/fighting-fraud-and-corruption-locally
Council Accounts

Council accounts: a guide to your rights

The NAO has published an updated version of Council accounts: a guide to your rights on its website. The guide has been updated to reflect the new requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, and applies to 2015-16 accounts. The document provides information on how people can ask questions and raise objections about the accounts of their local authority.

The guide can be downloaded from:

Arrangements for the exercise of public rights

The Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 set out new arrangements for the exercise of public rights from 2015/16 onwards. A key implication of the Act is that the final approval of the statement of the accounts by an authority prior to publication cannot take place until after the conclusion of the period for the exercise of public rights. As the thirty working day period for the exercise of public rights must include the first ten working days of July, authorities will not be able to approve their audited accounts or publish before 15th July 2016.

Smaller authorities must also wait until the conclusion of the thirty working day period for the exercise of public rights before publishing their accounts and the auditor’s report.